Attorney Docket: 081589/0284907 lient Reference: SD-3017REG

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION of

JACOBS et al.

Confirmation No.: 8644

Serial. No.: 10/076,082

Group Art Unit: 3634

Filed: February 15, 2002

Examiner: K. TRAN

FOR: MODULAR STANCHION STORAGE STRUCTURE

October 16, 2003

## REPLY TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

OCT 1 7 2003

Sir:

In reply to the Election of Species Requirement dated October 2, 2003, Applicants elect with traverse Species II (Figures 5A-5C, 8-11 and 19-23) for further prosecution. Figures 12-18B are generic to all species. It is respectfully submitted that claims 1-8, 14-29 and 32-42 read on the elected Species II and the figures that are generic to both species. Furthermore, claims 1-8, 14-29, 41 and 42 are generic to both Species I and II.

It is also respectfully submitted that the subject matter of Species I and II is sufficiently related that a thorough search and examination for the subject matter of any one species would necessarily encompass the search and examination of the remaining species. The application can thus be searched and examined without serious burden. MPEP § 803 sets forth the criteria for a proper requirement for restriction: (A) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (B) there must be a <u>serious</u> burden on the examiner. As also stated in MPEP § 803, "If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it

JACOBS et al. – Appln. No. 076,082 Attorney Docket: 081589/0284907

includes claims to independent or distinct inventions." (Emphasis added.) As discussed above, MPEP § 802.02 defines restriction as both restriction between distinct inventions and election of species requirements, it is clear that the requirement of a <u>serious</u> burden equally applies to election of species requirements. Furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that the requirement should be withdrawn in order to avoid duplicative examination by the Patent Office and unnecessary expense to Applicants.

Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Election of Species Requirement are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP

By:\_

Glenn T. Barrett Reg. No. 38,705

Tel. No.: (703) 905-2011 Fax No.: (703) 905-2500

gbarrett@pillsburywinthop.com

GTB/dlh

P.O. Box 10500 McLean, VA 22102 (703) 905-2000